

Overview on Phytoseiidae mites (Acari, Mesostigmata, Gamasina) of Latvia

INETA SALMANE, VALENTINA PETROVA

Institute of Biology, University of Latvia, 3 Miera Str., LV-2169, Salaspils, Latvia; e-mails: incis@email.lubi.edu.lv ; vp@email.lubi.edu.lv

SALMANE I., PETROVA V. 2002. OVERVIEW ON PHYTOSEIIDAE MITES (ACARI, MESOSTIGMATA, GAMASINA) OF LATVIA. – *Latv. Entomol.*, 39: 48-54.

Abstract: This report gives an overview on Phytoseiidae mites of Latvia, based on data from previous works. Thirty five species collected from diverse habitats, various vegetation, as well as from soil and litter are listed. The widest variety (29 species) of Phytoseiidae was found on different species of trees and bushes. The most widely investigated and the most abundantly inhabited was *Malus domestica* with 16 Phytoseiidae species. Thirteen species were found on *Fragaria x ananasa*. *Amblyseius obtusus* was found to be the most widely distributed Phytoseiidae species in Latvia. Discussion about Latvian, Lithuanian, Estonia, Finland and South Sweden Phytoseiidae fauna was made. Species composition highly differed amongst those sites. Differences can be explained by various methods of collecting and processing of the material, as well as by differences of climate. The highest number of species was found for Lithuania and Latvia.

Key words: Phytoseiidae, predatory mites, Gamasina, fauna, ecology, Latvia.

Introduction

Phytoseiidae mites are widely distributed in most terrestrial ecosystems. They live in the foliage or on bark of trees and bushes, on other vegetation, additionally some species are common litter and soil inhabitants (Evans et al., 1985). Predatory mites belonging to the family Phytoseiidae regulate pest mite populations (Murtry, Croft, 1997), they are especially important controllers of high densities of phytophagous mites. Most of the phytoseiids are able to survive and reproduce on other arthropods like eriophyiid and tarsonemid mites, thrips etc., fungi, pollen and sap of plants (Easterbrook, 1992; Evans et al., 1985; Helle, Sabelis, 1985; Walter, Proctor, 2000).

Some introduced phytoseiids like *Phytoseiulus persimilis* Athias-Henriot, 1957, *Amblyseius barkeri* (syn. *A. mckenziei* Schuster et Pritchard, 1963), *A. cucumeris*, *A. (Neoseiulus) fallacis* (Garman, 1948) and *Typhlodromus pyri* Scheuten, 1857 have been used as control agents for some pests in greenhouses and outdoor agroecosystems in many countries and some of them are also used in Latvia (Bennison, Jacobson, 1991; Nesbitt, 1951; Petrova, Hramejeva, 1989a; Petrova,

Petrov, 1970, 1972, 1977a,b; Steinite et al., 1998).

Investigations of the Phytoseiidae fauna of Latvia were made by N.Kuznetsov and V.Petrov (1978, 1979), V.Petrova (Petrova et al., 1997, 2000a,b), A.Prieditis (1966, 1968, 1971, 1995), A.Prieditis and E.Plise (1975), V.Petrov (1971, 1973), E.Plise (1972, 1974) and I.Salmane (Melecis et al., 1994; Paulina, Salmane, 1996, 1999, Petrova, Salmane, 2000; Salmane, 1996, 1999, 2000a,b, 2001; Salmane, Heldt, 2001; Salmane et al., 1999) in diverse ecosystems, such as woods, inland meadows, orchards, strawberry fields, salty coastal meadows, dunes and littoral zone.

N. Kuznetsov and V.Petrov (1984) made a first review of data on Latvian phytoseiids, where they also included own investigations of 1976-1981. Later I.Lapina included this list of Phytoseiidae in her overview of Latvian Gamasina (Lapina, 1988). I.Salmane (2001) made the third notification of Phytoseiidae mites within a check-list of Latvian Gamasina mites and registered for Latvia 35 species.

Several investigations on ecology, biology and histology of native and introduced Phytoseiidae mites were made (Emeljanov, Petrov, 1973, 1975; Karps et al., 1990; Lapina, Melecis, 1985, 1989; Petrov 1971; Petrova,

1969, 1970; Petrova, Petrov, 1977b; Petrova, Hramejeva, 1989b; Petrova et al., 1990; Prieditis, Plise, 1975). The present paper gives an overview on Latvian Phytoseiidae summarising all data from literature.

Results and discussion

The respective investigations were made on various deciduous and coniferous trees, bushes, diverse plants and mosses, in the soil and litter. According to N.Kuznetsov and V.Petrov, fauna of phytoseiids was intensively investigated in 1977-1979 in Latvia. Twenty seven Phytoseiidae species were found in 78 localities from 4 regions of Latvia (Kurzeme, Zemgale, Vidzeme, Latgale) during July-August 1977, June-August 1978 and August 1979. Totally, 12 species registered in orchards (39 locations). Species *Amblyseius finlandicus* (31 locations), *A. subsolidus* (10), *A. rhenanus* (4), *Phytoseius salicis* (17), and *Paraseiulus incognitus* (8) were common in all four regions. *Amblyseius finlandicus* (11 locations), *A. subsolidus* (6), and *Paraseiulus incognitus* (6) were registered as inhabitants of orchards. Four species were found only in some locations: *A. obtusus* (6), *A. cucumeris* (5), *A. rhenanus* (4), and *A. rademacheri* (4); other species were relatively rare in orchards.

By I.Salmane fauna of phytoseiids of salty coastal meadow soils was studied for the first time in Latvia and ten species were registered there (Salmane, 1999; Salmane et al., 1999). Thirteen Phytoseiidae species were registered on cultivated strawberry *Fragaria x ananasa* by V.Petrova et al. (2000a,b). Amongst them 11 species were found for the first time on strawberries in Latvia.

Altogether 35 Phytoseiidae species were found in Latvia (tab. 1). The highest number of them was registered on the various trees and bushes (29 species). The most widely investigated (amongst trees and bushes) and the most abundantly inhabited was *Malus domestica* with 16 Phytoseiidae species. Twenty-seven phytoseiid species were found on other various plants.

Amblyseius obtusus was found to be the most common Phytoseiidae species in Latvia

(tab. 1). *A. marinus* and *A. andersoni* were typical species for coastal dunes and washed ashore material (Salmane, 2000b; Salmane, Heldt, 2001); *A. finlandicus*, *A. subsolidus*, and *A. rhenanus* were common on *M. domestica*. *A. obtusus*, *A. cucumeris*, *A. meridionalis* and *A. zwoelferi* were common litter and moss inhabitants (Salmane, 1999, 2000b, 2001; Salmane, Heldt, 2001; Paulina, Salmane, 1996, 1999).

The Phytoseiidae fauna also was investigated in Lithuania and the list of Phytoseiidae included about 42 species (Eitminavichute, 1976; Pauriene, 1968a,b; Kuznetsov, Petrov, 1984; Malov, Begljarov, 1985). According to those authors, the most abundant species in Lithuania were *Anthoseius tortor* Begljarov 1981 and *Kampimodromus aberrans* Oudemans 1930 (both unknown from Latvia) and also some species of the genus *Phytoseius* Ribaga, 1902. On the cultivated berries, the most common species were *Amblyseius finlandicus*, *A. umbraticus* (both also known from Latvia, tab. 1) and *A. krantzi* (Chant, 1959) (unknown from Latvia). Twelve Phytoseiidae species occur in Estonia (Eitminavichute, 1976; Kuznetsov, Petrov, 1984).

The fauna of Phytoseiidae mites (in total 19 species) was studied in South Sweden by N.Steeghs et al. (1993) strongly differs from that of Latvia. This is mainly due to fact that in South Sweden various trees and bushes were investigated while in Latvia it was a wide range of habitats (tab. 1). Seventeen phytoseiid species for Latvia (tab. 1) and 11 for South Sweden were found on *M. domestica*, however, only 2 of them were common for both sites – *A. finlandicus* and *Anthoseius rhenanus*. The most frequent species in South Sweden is *Typhlodromus pyri* (unknown from Latvia) and *A. finlandicus* (also found in Latvia, tab. 1). On cultivated strawberries, 13 phytoseiid species were found for Latvia, 6 for Finland (Tuovinen, 1995), and 5 for South Sweden (Steeghs et al., 1993). Four of them were common for Latvia, Finland and South Sweden: *A. finlandicus*, *A. reductus*, *A. zwoelferi*, and *A. okanagensis*.

Differences in fauna of Phytoseiidae in Baltic States and Scandinavia obviously can be related to the variability of methods used in

collecting and processing of mites. The sampling from foliage of cultivated and wild trees, bushes and plants, also by soil samples, and processing by Tullgren funnels was used in Latvia, Lithuania and Estonia. In Scandinavia, sampling from foliage and processing by method of flotation was used. There are also differences in climate, where it is relatively warmer in South of Baltic (more favorable conditions for phytoseids).

Acknowledgments

Authors are very grateful for given help in checking of English to Dr. M.Kirrage-de-Hond (London).

Kopsavilkums

Tika veikts pārskats par Phytoseiidae grupas Gamasina ērcu (Acari, Mesostigmata) pētījumiem un literatūru Latvijā. Kopumā konstatētas 35 fitoseīdu sugas, no tām visvairāk sugu (29) atrastas uz kokiem un krūmiem. Sešpadsmit sugas atrastas uz *Malus domestica* un 13 uz *Fragaria x ananasa*. *Amblyseius obtusus* konstatēta kā Latvijā visplašāk sastopamā suga. Phytoseiidae dzimtas ērcu fauna salīdzināta ar pārējām Baltijas valstīm, Dienvidzvidriju un Somiju un konstatētas ievērojamas sugu sastāva atšķirības. Daļēji šīs atšķirības izskaidrojamas ar dažādām materiālu ievākšanas un apstrādes metodēm, kā arī klimatisko apstākļu atšķirībām Scandināvijā un Baltijā. Vislielākā sugu daudzveidība ir konstatēta Lietuvā.

References

Bennison J.A., Jacobson R. 1991. Integrated control of *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande) in UK cucumber crops—evaluation of a controlled release system of introducing *Amblyseius cucumeris*. - Meded. Fac. Landboawwetensch. Rijkseeniv. Gent., 56, 2A: 251-258.

Easterbrook M.A. 1992. The possibilities for control of two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* on field-grown

strawberries in the UK by predatory mites. - Biocontrol. Sci. Technol., 2: 235-245.

- Eitminavichute I.S. 1976. Soil invertebrate fauna of the coastal area in the East Baltic region. Mokslas, Vilnius: 1-172 (in Russian, English abstract).
- Emeljanov V.A., Petrov V.M. (Емельянов В.А., Петров В.М.) 1973. [An investigation of the predatory mite phytoseiids ravenosity during nutrition by eriophyiid mite *Vasates schlechtendali* Nal.]. - In: The theoretical and practical questions of the rational use of animals and plants. Zinatne, Riga: 37-38 (in Russian).
- Emeljanov V.A., Petrov V.M. (Емельянов В.А., Петров В.М.) 1975. [Investigations of winter location by phytoseiid mites]. - Latvian Acad. Agric., 84: 36-38 (in Russian).
- Evans G.O., Griffiths D., Macfarlane D., Murphy P.W. & Till W.M. 1985. The Acari. A practical manual, vol. 1. Morphology and classification. University of Nottingham, School of Agricultural: 1-256.
- Helle W., Sabelis M.W. (eds) 1985. Spider mites. Their biology, Natural Enemies, and Control. Elsevier, Amsterdam, B: 1-458.
- Karps A., Lapina I., Melecis V. 1990. Environmental pollution by the pig slurry. Zinatne, Riga: 1-240 (in Russian, English abstract).
- Kuznetsov N.N., Petrov V.M. (Кузнецов Н.Н., Петров В.М.) 1978. [The preliminary materials of the investigations of predatory mite fauna in the East Baltic region]. - In: Biological foundation of the rational use of the animal and plant world. Zinatne, Riga: 272-273 (in Russian).
- Kuznetsov N.N., Petrov V.M. (Кузнецов Н.Н., Петров В.М.) 1979. [Acari communities in apple orchards of Latvian SSR]. - In: The problems of the protection of apple trees from pests and diseases. Latvian Acad. Agric., Jelgava: 44-47 (in Russian).
- Kuznetsov N.N., Petrov V.M. (Кузнецов Н.Н., Петров В.М.) 1984. [Predatory mites of the Baltic Region (Parasitiformes: Phytoseiidae, Acariformes: Prostigmata)]. Zinatne, Riga: 1-144 (in Russian).
- Lapina I. M. 1988. Gamasin mites of Latvia. Zinatne, Riga: 1-198 (in Russian, English abstract).

- Lapina I., Melecis V. 1985. Investigations of the soil Gamasina mites (Mesostigmata, Gamasina) in calciferous dust polluted biotopes. – In: Berina D., Kacalova O.L., Lapina I. (eds.). Environmental pollution by the calciferous dust: 110-126. Zinatne, Riga: 1-224 (in Russian, English abstract).
- Lapina I., Melecis V. 1989. On sampling method of soil gamasina mites for ecological investigations. – In: Kacalova O.L. (ed.). The impact of highway transport emissions on natural environment: 117-125. Zinatne, Riga: 1-148 (in Russian, English abstract).
- Malov N.A., Begljarov G.A. (Малов Н.А., Бегляров Г.А.) 1985. [To fauna of phytoseiids of Lithuania]. – In: Materials of 5th Allunion Conference of Acarology. Пим, Frunze, 196-197 (in Russian).
- Mc Murtry J.A., Croft B.A. 1997. Life styles of phytoseiid mites and their roles as biological control agents. – Ann. Rev. Entomol., 42: 291-321.
- Melecis V., Spote I., Paulina E. 1994. Soil microarthropods as potential bioindicators for coastal monitoring. – In: Abstracts of the International Conference “Coastal conservation and management in the Baltic region”, Klaipeda: 111-115.
- Nesbitt H.H.J. 1951. A taxonomic study of the Phytoseiinae (family Laelaptidae) predaceous upon Tetranychidae of economic importance. – Zool. Verh., 12: 1-64.
- Paulina E., Salmane I. 1996. Fauna of free living gamasin mites (Acari, Gamasina) and springtails (Insecta, Collembola) at biotopes of Engure reserve. – Daba un muzejs, 6: 67-71.
- Paulina E., Salmane I. 1999. Collembola and gamasin mites of the restricted area Lake Engure, Latvia. – Proc. XXIV Nordic Congr. Entomol., Tartu: 145-150.
- Pauriene B. (Пауриене Б.) 1968a. [Predatory mites (Acarina, Phytoseiidae) in orchards of Lithuania]. – Proc. Lithuan. Acad. Agric., 4/2: 71-73 (in Lithuanian).
- Pauriene B. (Пауриене Б.) 1968b. [Investigation of the phytophagous mites in orchards and ornamental plantations of Lithuanian SSR]. Ph.D. Thesis. Vilnius: 1-17 (in Russian).
- Petrov V.M. 1971. The study species compound and ecology of the spider mites in Latvian SSR. – Proc. Latvian Acad. Sci., 7: 26-32 (in Russian, English abstract).
- Petrov V.M. (Петров В.М.) 1973. [The spider mites–plant pests in Latvian SSR]. Ph.D. Thesis. Riga: 1-24 (in Russian).
- Petrova V.I. 1969. The development of female sexual cells of the predatory mite *Phytoseiulus persimilis* Athias-Henriot. – Proc. Latvian Acad. Sci., 12: 17-22 (in Russian, English abstract).
- Petrova V.I. 1970. Construction and development of the male sexual system of the predatory mite *Phytoseiulus persimilis* A.-H.. – Proc. Latvian Acad. Sci., 5: 24-27 (in Russian, English abstract).
- Petrova V., Čudare Z., Šteinīte I. 2000a. Invertebrate fauna on strawberry in Latvia. Proc. Latvian Acad. Sci. – Proc. Latvian Acad. Sci., 54/3 (608): 79-84.
- Petrova V., Čudare Z., Šteinīte I. 2000b. Seasonal dynamics of predatory mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on strawberries in Latvia. – Ekológia (Bratislava), 19/3: 207-210.
- Petrova V.I., Hramejeva A.V. (Петрова В.И., Храмејева А.В.) 1989a. [Predatory mite *Amblyseius mckienziei* as a regulator of numbers *Thrips tabaci* on the cucumbers]. – In: Aspects of biological regulation of plant pest numbers. Zinatne, Riga: 68-91 (in Russian).
- Petrova V.I., Hramejeva A.V. (Петрова В.И., Храмејева А.В.) 1989b. [The study of the *Amblyseius longispinosus* predation activity]. – In: Aspects of biological regulation of plant pest numbers. Zinatne, Riga: 39-67 (in Russian).
- Petrova V.I., Hramejeva A.V., Petrov V.M. (Петрова В.И., Храмејева А.В., Петров В.М.) 1990. [Predatory mite *Phytoseiulus persimilis* Athias-Henriot population state after the bitoxibacillin application]. – In: Biological and chemical plant protection means from injurious organisms and their efficiency. Latvian Acad. Agric., Jelgava: 62-69 (in Russian).

- Petrova V., Hramejeva A., Steinite I. 1997. Thrips and their natural enemies in greenhouses. – Proc. Latvian Acad. Sci., 51, 3/4: 176-178.
- Petrova V.I., Petrov V.M. 1970. The use of predatory mite *Phytoseiulus persimilis* A.-H. to control of spider mites. - Proc. Latvian Acad. Sci., 2: 122-125 (in Russian, English abstract).
- Petrova V.I., Petrov V.M. (Петрова В.И., Петров В.М.) 1972. [Recommendation for use of the predatory mite *Phytoseiulus persimilis* A.-H. to biological control of spider mites]. - In: Management of the scientifically technical information. Riga: 1-12 (in Russian).
- Petrova V., Petrov V. (Петрова В., Петров В.) 1977a. [*Phytoseiulus* control the spider mites in greenhouses]. Zinatne, Riga: 1-26 (in Russian).
- Petrova V.I., Petrov V.M. (Петрова В.И., Петров В.М.) 1977b. [Possibility of the simultaneous use of the pathogenous fungus *Entomophthora thaxteriana* Petch and predatory mite *Phytoseiulus persimilis* A.-H. to control of greenhouse pests]. - In: Entomopathogenous microorganisms and their use to control with plant pests. Zinatne, Riga: 78-86 (in Russian).
- Petrova V., Salmane I. 2000. Some mite species from mass-rearing laboratories of commercial mushrooms and beneficial arthropods in Latvia. – Ekológia (Bratislava), 19/3: 211-212.
- Plise E. (Плисе Э.) 1972. [Significance of the predatory mites in the phytophagous mites regulation]. – In: Materials of the interrepublic scientifically-practical conference of the total doctoranture, Leningrad: 120-122 (in Russian).
- Plise E. (Плисе Э.) 1974. [Species compound, biology, and agricultural significance of the tetranychids in the apple cenosis of Latvia]. – Ph.D. Thesis. Jelgava: 1-33 (in Russian).
- Prieditis A.P. (Приедитис А.П.) 1966. [Influence of the chemical plant protection system on injurious and beneficial arthropods in apple agrocenosis]. – Ph.D. Thesis. Jelgava: 1-28 (in Russian).
- Prieditis A.P. (Приедитис А.П.) 1968. [Influence of the biological and chemical factors on the *Metatetranychus ulmi* Koch population]. – In: Biological method of control with plant pests. Zinatne, Riga: 328-338 (in Russian).
- Prieditis A. 1971. [Injurious arthropods species compound of the apple agrocenosis, and its practical significance]. - Proc. Latvian Acad. Agric., 42: 11-27 (in Latvian).
- Prieditis A. 1995. [Integrated apple pest control in Latvia]. - Ph.D. Thesis. Jelgava: 1-63 (in Latvian).
- Prieditis A., Plise E. (Приедитис А., Плисе Э.) 1975. [The possibility of the integrated control with mite *Panonychus ulmi* Koch in Latvia]. – Proc. Latvian Acad. Agric., 84: 3-16 (in Russian).
- Salmane I. 1996. Gamasin mites (Acari, Gamasina) of Kurzeme coast of the Baltic Sea. – Latv. Entomol., 35: 28-34.
- Salmane I. 1999. Soil free-living predatory Gamasina mites (Acari, Mesostigmata) from the coastal meadows of Riga Gulf, Latvia. - Latv. Entomol., 37: 104-114.
- Salmane I. 2000a. Investigations of the seasonal dynamics of Gamasina mites (Acari, Mesostigmata) in the pine forests of Latvia. – Ekológia (Bratislava), 19/3: 245-252.
- Salmane I. 2000b. The soil-dwelling predatory Gamasina mite (Acari, Mesostigmata) fauna of seashore habitats on the Kurzeme Coast of Latvia. – Ekológia (Bratislava), 19/4: 87-96.
- Salmane, I., 2001. A check-list of Latvian Gamasina mites (Acari, Mesostigmata) with short notes to their ecology. – Latv. Entomol., 38: 27-39.
- Salmane I., Heldt S. 2001. Predatory soil mites (Acari, Mesostigmata, Gamasina) from the western Baltic coast of Latvia. – Acarologia, 41/3: 295-301.
- Salmane I., Melecis V., Paulina E. 1999. Soil Collembola (Insecta) and Gamasina (Acari) of salty coastal meadows of Latvia. - Proc. XXIV Nordic Congr. Entomol., Tartu: 157-162.
- Steeghs N., Nedstam B., Lundqvist L. 1993. Predatory mites of the family Phytoseiidae (Acari, Mesostigmata) from South Sweden. - Ent. Tidskr., Uppsala: 114, 1/2: 19-27.
- Steinite I., Petrova V., Tenbergs G., Jankevica L. 1998. The use of the predatory mite *Neoseiulus cucumeris* Oud. for pest control (*Tetranychus urticae* Koch, *Thrips tabaci*

L.) in gerbera. - In: The Baltic Botanic Gardens, Tallinn: 45-50.

Tuovinen T. 1995. Phytoseiid mites on cultivated berries in Finland. - In: The Acari. Physiological and Ecological Aspects of Acari-Host Relationships: 315-322. D.

Kropczynska, J. Boczek and A. Tomczyk (eds.), Officyna DABOR, Warszawa: 1-698.

Walter D. E., Proctor H. C. 2000. Mites. Ecology, evolution and behavior. - CABI, Wallingford: 1-322.

Received: February 12, 2002.

Table 1. List of Phytoseiidae species and habitats investigated in Latvia.

Phytoseiidae species	Leaf samples from		Litter, mosses	Soil samples (coastal habitats)
	Trees, bushes	Other plants		
<i>Typhlodromus cotoneastri</i> Wainstein, 1961	<i>Malus domestica</i>			
<i>Typhlodromus tiliae</i> Oudemans, 1929	<i>Malus domestica</i>	<i>Rubus</i> spp., grasses		
<i>Anthoseius clavatus</i> Wainstein, 1972	<i>Malus domestica</i>	grasses		
<i>Anthoseius caudiglans</i> (Schuster, 1959)	<i>Malus domestica</i>	<i>Rubus</i> spp., grasses		
<i>Anthoseius rhenanus</i> (Oudems, 1905)	<i>Malus domestica</i>	<i>Rubus</i> spp., grasses		
<i>Anthoseius rapidus</i> Wainstein et Arutunjan, 1967	<i>Betula</i> spp., <i>Quercus</i> spp.			
<i>Paraseiulus soleiger</i> (Ribaga, 1902)		wild plants		
<i>Paraseiulus incognitus</i> Wainstein et Arutunjan, 1967	<i>Malus domestica</i> , various bushes	grasses		
<i>Amblyseius bakeri</i> Garman, 1948	<i>Juniperus communis</i> , <i>Malus domestica</i> , <i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	<i>Rubus</i> spp.		dunes
<i>Amblyseius obtusus</i> C.L.Koch, 1839	<i>Malus domestica</i> , <i>Acer</i> spp., <i>Pinus</i> spp., <i>Quercus</i> spp.	<i>Rubus</i> spp., <i>Vaccinium</i> spp., grasses	litter (esp. coniferous forests)	washed ashore, dunes, coastal meadows
<i>Amblyseius herbarius</i> Wainstein, 1960	<i>Corylus avellana</i>	<i>Fragaria x ananasa</i>		dunes
<i>Amblyseius rademacheri</i> Dosse, 1958	<i>Acer</i> spp., <i>Alnus</i> spp., <i>Betula</i> spp., <i>Juniperus communis</i>	<i>Fragaria x ananasa</i> , grasses		coastal meadows
<i>Amblyseius graminis</i> Chant, 1956	<i>Juniperus communis</i> , <i>Malus domestica</i>	grasses		coastal meadows, dunes
<i>Amblyseius messor</i> Wainstein, 1960	<i>Alnus</i> spp.	<i>Rubus</i> spp.		coastal meadows, dunes
<i>Amblyseius aurescens</i> Athias-Henriot, 1961	<i>Sorbus</i> spp.	<i>Fragaria x ananasa</i>		coastal meadows, dunes
<i>Amblyseius reductus</i> Wainstein, 1962	<i>Quercus</i> spp.	<i>Fragaria x ananasa</i>		coastal meadows
<i>Amblyseius cucumeris</i> (Oudemans, 1930)	<i>Betula</i> spp., <i>Malus domestica</i> , <i>Sorbus</i> spp.,	<i>Fragaria x ananasa</i> , grasses	litter	
<i>Amblyseius meridionalis</i> (Berlese, 1914)	<i>Pinus</i> spp., <i>Juniperus communis</i>		mosses, litter	coastal meadows, dunes

Continuation of table 1.

<i>Amblyseius begljaro</i> vi Abbasova, 1970	<i>Betula</i> spp., <i>Salix</i> spp.	<i>Urtica</i> spp., <i>Medicago</i> spp.		
<i>Amblyseius zwoelferi</i> (Dosse, 1957)		<i>Fragaria</i> x <i>ananasa</i> , <i>Trifolium</i> spp.	litter	coastal meadows, dunes
<i>Amblyseius agrestis</i> (Karg, 1960)		<i>Fragaria</i> x <i>ananasa</i>	mosses	washed ashore, dunes
<i>Amblyseius subsolidus</i> Begljarov, 1960	<i>Malus domestica</i> , various trees	<i>Fragaria</i> x <i>ananasa</i> , <i>F.</i> <i>vesca</i> , various plants		
<i>Amblyseius okanagensis</i> (Chant, 1957)	<i>Alnus</i> spp.	<i>Fragaria</i> x <i>ananasa</i> , <i>Rubus</i> spp.		
<i>Phytoseius salicis</i> Wainstein et Arutunjan, 1970	<i>Acer</i> spp., <i>Malus</i> <i>domestica</i> , bushes	<i>Fragaria vesca</i> , grasses		
<i>Amblyseius finlandicus</i> (Oudemns, 1915)	<i>Acer</i> spp., <i>Malus</i> <i>domestica</i> , <i>Ulmus</i> spp.	<i>Fragaria</i> x <i>ananasa</i> , <i>F.</i> <i>vesca</i>		
<i>Amblyseius marginatus</i> (Wainstein, 1961)	<i>Sorbus</i> spp., <i>Quercus</i> spp.			coastal meadows
<i>Amblyseius bicaudus</i> Wainstein, 1962		<i>Fragaria</i> x <i>ananasa</i> , grasses		washed ashore, dunes, coastal meadows
<i>Amblyseius barkeri</i> (Hughes, 1948)		<i>Fragaria</i> x <i>ananasa</i>		dunes
<i>Amblyseius umbraticus</i> (Chant, 1956)	<i>Malus domestica</i>			
<i>Amblyseius levis</i> Wainstein, 1960	<i>Juniperus</i> <i>communis</i>	<i>Rubus</i> spp.		
<i>Amblyseius astutus</i> (Begljarov, 1960)	<i>Juniperus</i> <i>communis</i>	<i>Rubus</i> spp., grasses		
<i>Amblyseius marinus</i> (Willman, 1952)				washed ashore, dunes
<i>Amblyseius andersoni</i> (Chant, 1957)				dunes
<i>Phytoseius macropilis</i> (Banks, 1904)	<i>Malus domestica</i>			
<i>Phytoseius juvenis</i> Wainstein et Arutunjan, 1970	<i>Malus domestica</i> , <i>Prunus</i> spp., <i>Salix</i> spp.	<i>Rubus</i> spp.		
Totally: 35	29	27	6	13